

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,807	,807 07/22/2003		Kotoyoshi Murakami	740819-1024	5277
22204	7590	06/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER
NIXON PE			OMGBA,	OMGBA, ESSAMA	
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128				
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
-	10/623,807	MURAKAMI, KOTOYOSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Essama Omgba	3726					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under № 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
·· _	~r						
<u> </u>	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a list	C. IIIO COMMING COPICS HOL TOUCHY	·					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
 Rotice of Dransperson's Fatent Drawing Review (170-346) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/11/05</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/623,807 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-8 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Seiji et al. (JP 2002-06670). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 9-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 9, line 5, "surface" should read --surfaces--, in line 13, --being configured-- should be inserted after "means" and in line 19, a semi-colon (;) should be inserted at the end of the line; in claim 14, line 5, "the superimposed surface" should read --superimposed surfaces--, and in line 15, "is" should read --being configured--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 14 recite the limitation "the distal end of the junction tool" in lines 11, 13, 15-16 and 18 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/623,807

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Seiji et al. (JP 202-066760). With regards to claims 1 and 9, Applicant, at pages 1-3 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses a junction device and a junction method for joining a workpiece made of a plurality of plate materials superimposed in their thickness directions at points, the method utilizing a junction tool which is configured by first and second tools placed on a junction axis substantially perpendicular to the superimposed surfaces of the workpiece while nipping the workpiece therebetween and which has a pin protruding from a distal end surface of the first tool along the junction axis and a second tool which has a flat distal end surface wherein while the first tool is rotated, the workpiece is nipped by the junction tool and pressed, then the pin of the first tool is sunk into the workpiece, then the workpiece is softened by friction generated by the rotation of the first tool, plastic flow is generated within the workpiece by rotation of the first tool, the vicinity of the superimposed surface of the workpiece is agitated by the plastic flow, after the agitation is sufficiently performed within the workpiece, the first tool is pulled from the workpiece and the softened plate materials are cured. AAPA does not disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/623,807

Art Unit: 3726

a recess depressed at a distal end surface of the second tool along the junction axis.

However Seiji et al. teaches in a junction device and a junction method for joining a

workpiece made of a plurality of plate materials that the concave portion 3a of the first

tool 1 and the concave portion 5a of the second tool can be provided at either one or

both, furthermore a pin-shaped concave portion can be provided instead of the concave

portion 3a, see paragraphs 24 and 25 of the on-line translation. Therefore it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to

have provided the second tool of AAPA with a recess depressed at its distal end

surface, in light of the teachings of Seiji et al., in order to form a better joint.

For claim 12, see figures 1 and 2 of Seiji et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-5, 10, 11 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 6-8 and 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/623,807

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

eo June 11, 2005